

Meeting Session	Interventions Working Group
Paper Reference	IWG 77
Action	For Information

## Actions

This paper outlines the actions for the Interventions Working Group.

### Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
<b>70/01</b>	PS/CS/RH to provide the group with trial updates of reporting B11 codes.	PS/CS/RH	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b></p> <p>01/11/2023 – There are currently no further updates regarding the trial of reporting B11 codes. This action will remain ongoing throughout the trial period.</p> <p>10/01/2024 – PS stated that the feedback thus far has been really positive. Further updates expected after Q1 2024.</p> <p>13/03/2024 – GH thanked PS for sending the data out on this. RB noted that if they attend site and it's a fuse neutral type cut-out with a link in the neutral then they will fit a block and put a</p>

			<p>sticker on it stating that it is a neutral link cut-out. This has been circulated to AMO members.</p> <p>01/05/2024 – Trial ongoing. Action will remain open for future updates to be provided as and when needed.</p> <p>03/07/2024 – ENWL provided a proposed process, attached to minutes of the IWG 75 meeting as attachment 1.</p> <p>04/09/2024 – Trial is ongoing.</p> <p>02/10/2024 – No further update.</p>
<b>70/04</b>	DNO representatives to gather some examples and feedback of how the online form has been of benefit to both DNOs and MOPs.	All Members	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b></p> <p>01/11/2023 – Still awaiting examples/feedback from DNO representatives, however it was agreed to be further discussed within the relative agenda item during the meeting.</p> <p>10/01/2024 – PM informed the group that they are continuing with their own version of the online form and sharing this bilaterally.</p> <p>13/03/2024 – RB noted that NGED have started a trial. He provided a further update later in the meeting.</p> <p>01/05/2024 - Action will remain open for future updates to be provided as and when needed.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - RB explained that when a MEM calls in with a category A, they are asked to send an image in via email.</p> <p>04/09/2024 – Action will remain open for future updates to be provided as and when needed.</p> <p>02/10/2024 – No further update.</p>

<b>74/01</b>	VB and NJ to discuss feasibility of SSE Energy Supply working with SSEN and UKPN via PM to discuss data quality entering or exiting the DTN.	VB, NJ and PM	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 25/06/2024 – Update to be provided at the meeting.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - VB advised this was still awaiting IT resource, but this could not currently be allocated. VB suggested reaching out to Energy UK to see if a supplier could help with this action.</p> <p>04/09/2024 – Still awaiting Supplier participation. Suppliers to contact PM if they are able to assist.</p> <p>02/10/2024 – The Chair agreed to contact PM, RH and MG to restart discussions. Will include DTN colleague.</p>
<b>74/02</b>	Chair to reach out to GH in relation to adding the asbestos gallery to the AMO asbestos guidance document.	Chair	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 25/06/2024 – Update to be provided at the meeting.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - The Chair confirmed this would be updated offline.</p> <p>04/09/2024 – Ongoing, Chair agreed to circulate the gallery with the minutes. This is included as Attachment 3 to the minutes.</p> <p>02/10/2024 – Gallery complete, DW (AMO) aware and action ongoing.</p>
<b>74/03</b>	Chair to reach out to REC in relation to undertaking a piece of work to review progress to date on the introduction of SIPs (i.e benefits, gaps still remaining etc.). Also to discuss whether SIP parties are able to disclose the scope of work they provide and areas of the country they work in.	Chair	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 05/06/2024 - Lorna Mallon from REC will attend the meeting on 03 July to discuss this further.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - The Chair explained that the DCUSA Panel had approved a guidance video be created to explain what a SIP is and what the requirements of becoming a SIP are (e.g., to become a MEM) and that, whilst this may not appease the electricians, it will at least make it clear what the requirements to become a SIP are. LM stated that the REC will be discussing this in a separate meeting and would bring back the output of</p>

			<p>that discussion. LM explained that this was triggered by the volume of queries being received via the help desk and the number of companies that are now not progressing through the process</p> <p>04/09/2024 – The Chair agreed to check with LM for an update on this. Members stated that it would be beneficial for their to be more information online regarding the services SIPs are providing and in which areas. Members raised concerns however that it was unclear where this information should be held. The group agreed that further work needs to be done in this area.</p> <p>02/10/2024 – The Chair agreed to update members when possible. Suggestion that a video is created to provide more information on SIPs is under discussion.</p>
<b>74/04</b>	Chair to reach out to REC in relation to the existing Safety and Quality Issue Contacts spreadsheet and determine the most appropriate way of the continued maintenance of this.	Chair	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 05/06/2024 - REC is considering whether this can be maintained. It may require a change.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - LM explained this would be discussed in a meeting the same afternoon, so no update was available.</p> <p>04/09/2024 – The Chair agreed to check with LM for an update on this.</p> <p>02/10/2024 – The Chair noted that REC are undergoing a review of their contact lists and this is being considered as part of that. The Chair agreed to follow up with LM.</p>
<b>74/05</b>	Chair to reach out to REC regarding the R0072 and the associated REC RFI to articulate discussion at IWG and discuss next steps.	Chair	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b> 05/06/2024 - REC intend to speak to Ofgem regarding this and a further update will be provided once available.</p>

			<p>03/07/2024 - LM explained that this had gone back to the consumer to suggest they withdraw it and Ofgem had been made aware of the situation.</p> <p>04/09/2024 – The Chair agreed to check with LM for an update on this.</p> <p>02/10/2024 – The Chair agreed to check with LM for an update on this.</p>
<b>76/01</b>	The Chair to contact Martin Allen (Electrical Safety First) to discuss potential guidance relating to the use of clamp type isolators.	Chair	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b></p> <p>02/10/2024 – Action ongoing.</p>
<b>76/02</b>	DNOs to provide their current processes and procedures for working on black plastic cut outs. These can then be compared with MEM procedures.	DNOs	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b></p> <p>02/10/2024 - Action ongoing. To be discussed under Agenda item 1.</p>
<b>76/03</b>	PA to feedback to the IWG following phenolic cut out discussion at STIG.	PA	<p><b>Ongoing Action.</b></p> <p>02/10/2024 – PA not at the meeting. To be discussed under Agenda item 1.</p>

### Closed Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
<b>71/03</b>	GH to inform the Working Group once the review of the AMO guidance document has been completed.	GH	<p><b>Action Closed.</b></p> <p>10/01/2024 – This is currently still awaiting committee approval. The next AMO meeting will take place on 30 January 2024.</p>

			<p>13/03/2024 – This action is still ongoing.</p> <p>01/05/2024 – This action is still ongoing.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - GH confirmed the guidance document review is completed and a copy will be sent to the Chair.</p> <p>04/09/2024 – This action is still ongoing.</p> <p>02/10/2024 – Action closed as covered by 74/02.</p>
<b>72/05</b>	The Secretariat/Working Group to find the historical guidance around the issue with using clamp type isolators.	All Members / Secretariat	<p><b>Action Closed.</b></p> <p>13/03/2024 -This is to be raised at the next REC EOMF.</p> <p>01/05/2024 – Chair to reach out to REC to understand what the next steps are in relation to discussions held at the REC EOMF.</p> <p>03/07/2024 - Chair advised he has reached out to REC to understand where discussions have got to.</p> <p>04/09/2024 – Chair advised that they have not received any update from REC. Members felt that sharing information could be beneficial but noted concerns that this may not in scope of DCUSA. Chair agreed to contact Martin Allen (Electrical Safety First) to discuss this. (New action 76/01)</p> <p>02/10/2024 – Closed as covered by 76/01.</p>
<b>72/06</b>	The Working Group speak internally as to what fuse-rating is expected to be used when visiting a de-energised site where the fuse-rating is unknown, and also discuss this further at the STIG meeting in January, and feedback to the group.	All Members	<p><b>Action Closed.</b></p> <p>13/03/2024 – The majority view is that if you get to site and there is no fuse, MEMs should default to a 60 amp to restore supply. A further update on any guidance will be circulated soon.</p> <p>01/05/2024 – DNOs consensus is that of above, action remaining open as still need to understand IDNOs position.</p>

			<p>03/07/2024 - PA provided an update that Mel Swift had updated members on the outcomes from discussions with IDNO colleagues at the recent INA meeting. IDNOs are unanimous that the only cut out fuses they have on their networks are 80A or 100A. The default on DNO networks should be 60-amps if the supplier or MEM cannot determine what the previous fuse rating was. PA stated he would provide a written response on this for clarity.</p> <p>04/09/2024 – MS confirmed that further discussions were held with the INA Typical Standards Committee that it would be the rating as indicated on the cut out handle. The majority will be 100A and there will be instances in some parts of the country that will be 80A.</p>
--	--	--	--